

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,505	CONNORS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Thomas A. Morrison.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Ronald K. Aust (Reg. No. 36,735).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 21 August 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*9 and 18*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 PATRICK MACKEY  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Aust (applicant's representative) on 8/21/2007 to inform him that two typographical errors needed to be corrected in claims 9 and 18, as per the attached examiner's amendment. During the telephone conversation, Mr. Aust indicated that correction of such errors was fine. No extension fee is required for the examiner's amendment, as per MPEP 706.07(f)(II)(G).